arXiv:1401.6823v2 [math.CO] 29 Jan 2014

Density version of the Ramsey problem and the directed Ramsey problem

Zoltán Lóránt Nagy *

Abstract

We discuss a version of the Ramsey and the directed Ramsey problem. First, consider a complete graph on n vertices and a two-coloring of the edges such that every edge is colored with at least one color and the number of bicolored edges is given. We want to find the maximal size of a monochromatic clique which is guaranteed by such a coloring. Analogously, in the second problem a semicomplete digraph is given on n vertices such that the number of bioriented edges is given. The aim is to bound the size of the maximal transitive subtournament that is guaranteed by such a digraph.

Probabilistic and analytic tools are used to determine the order of magnitude of the maximal substructure in terms of the bicolored or bioriented edges besides constructions related to graph packings and feedback vertex sets.

Keywords: Ramsey-theory, transitive tournaments, two-coloring, extremal graph problems, feedback vertex set, d-degenerate graphs

1 Introduction

Ramsey's theorem concerns one of the classic questions in graph theory, aiming to determine accurate bounds on the Ramsey number $R(k)$, the smallest number n such that in any two-coloring of the edges of a complete graph on n vertices, there is guaranteed to be a monochromatic clique of size k. An inverse approach to this problem is the following. Let $c : E(K_n) \to \{\text{red, blue}\}$ be a 2-coloring of the edges of the complete graph K_n. What is the largest number $f(n)$ such that there exists a monochromatic clique of size $f(n)$ in any coloring c of K_n?

Clearly, $f(R(k)) = k$, moreover $t < R(k)$ implies $f(t) < k$.
Due to Erdős, Szekeres and Spencer [13, 16, 30], it is well known that the following bounds hold for $f(n)$ if $n \geq 2$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\log_2(n) \leq f(n) \leq 2\log_2(n). \tag{1}$$

*Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Science, P.O.B. 127, Budapest H-1364, Hungary. Supported by Hungarian National Scientific Research Funds (OTKA) grant 81310. Email: nagy.zoltan.lorant@renyi.mta.hu.

Although it is widely investigated, even the most significant improvements yet not have any affect on the main terms, of both bounds [12, 30].

In this paper we propose a variant of this problem. Let us color the edges of K_n in such a way that every edge is either unicolored (red, blue), or bicolored. That is, our modified general two-coloring function is of form

$$c : E(K_n) \to \{ \text{ red, blue, red and blue}\}.$$

Let $E_{RB}(K_n)$ denotes the set of bicolored edges of K_n, and $m = \binom{n}{2} - |E_{RB}(K_n)|$ denotes the number of unicolored edges in a two-coloring of K_n. A subgraph H of K_n is called monochromatic in color red, respectively blue, if each edge of H is colored with red, respectively blue color. (That is, this extension allows a subgraph to be monochromatic in both colors, if every edge is bicolored.)

Problem 1.1. *Find the the size $f(n, m)$ of the largest monochromatic clique which is contained in any two-coloring of K_n with m unicolored edges.*

Our goal is to study the order of magnitude of $f(n, m)$ in terms of n and m, where m is considered as a function of n.

Our second problem concerns the Ramsey number $\vec{R}(n)$ for digraphs, which was defined by Erdős and Moser, and it had been studied by various authors [14, 31, 3, 27, 32, 26, 28]. Here the aim is to determine the size of the largest transitive subtournament which appears in any tournament on n vertices. A *tournament* T is a digraph in which every pair of vertices is joined by exactly one directed edge. A *subtournament* T' of T is a tournament induced by $V(T') \subseteq V(T)$. A tournament is *transitive* if it is acyclic. Recall that this is equivalent to the property that it has a topological ordering, that is, a linear ordering of its vertices such that for every directed edge $\vec{uv}$, u comes before v in the ordering.
The inverse approach appeared also in this problem, in the following sense. Let $F(n)$ denote the greatest integer F such that all tournaments of order n contain the transitive subtournament of order F. In [31], Stearns showed that $F(2n) \geq F(n) + 1$ which provides $F(n) \geq \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$. On the other hand, Erdős and Moser proved that $F(n) \leq \lfloor 2 \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$, and conjectured that the lower bound of Stearns in fact holds with equality. However this turned out to be false [27]. Later the lower bound was improved by Sanchez-Flores [28], who proved $F(n) \geq \lfloor \log_2 (n/55) \rfloor + 7$ by determining the function $F(n)$ for values $F \leq 55$ using computer techniques, and applying Stearns' recursion. Note that although this result improves the lower bound on $F(n)$, it does not disprove the asymptotic $F(n) = (1 + o(1)) \log_2(n)$ version of the conjecture.

A semicomplete digraph is a biorientation of a complete graph K_n, which means that for every pair $\{v_i, v_j\} \in V(G)$ at least one of the edges $\overrightarrow{v_i v_j}$ and $\overrightarrow{v_j v_i}$ is in the edge set of the digraph G. If both directed edges lie in the edge set, then we call the undirected edge (v_i, v_j) bi-oriented. Hence, both tournaments and complete digraphs are examples for this digraph family.

Let G be a semicomplete digraph on n vertices. We call G transitive, if it contains a transitive subtournament T such that $V(T) = V(G)$. Let $E_{bi}(G)$ be the set of bi-oriented

edges of the semicomplete digraph G, and $m = \binom{n}{2} - |E_{bi}(G)|$ denotes the number of edges of one orientation in G.

Following the concept of the general two-coloring and Problem 1.1, we study the following

Problem 1.2. *Find the size $F(n,m)$ of the largest transitive tournament which is contained in any semicomplete digraph G of m edges of one orientation, on n vertices.*

This problem has a connection to the problem of Erdős and Rado too [15], studied also by Larson and Mitchell [23]. The question they discuss is the following. Given $m > 2$ and $k > 1$, what is the smallest n so that every digraph on a set of n vertices either has an independent set of size k or contains a transitive tournament TT_m on m vertices.
We also mention that in more general settings these problems are closely related to the extremal theory of colored graphs. Here we refer only to the excellent surveys of Marchant, Thomason and Saxton [24, 25, 29, 33]. They also consider two-colored multigraphs (as the disjoint union of two simple graphs, red and blue, on the same vertex set), and study natural extremal questions, partly motivated by several applications. A well known work in the extremal theory of multigraphs and digraphs is that of Brown, Erdős and Simonovits [4, 5, 6], who studied the maximal number of edges in a multigraph (respectively digraph) G that contains no fixed multigraph (respectively digraph) F and whose edge multiplicity is bounded by a fixed integer.

The aim of the present paper is to study the order of magnitude of the considered functions $f(n,m)$ and $F(n,m)$ in terms of the cardinality of the bioriented or bicolored edges which increases from $\theta(\log(n))$ to n while $|E_{RB}(K_n)|$, respectively $|E_{bi}(G)|$ increase till $\binom{n}{2}$.
The following observation is straightforward.

Observation 1.3. $f(n, m = \binom{n}{2}) = f(n)$, $f(n, m = 0) = n$,

$f(n,m) \leq f(n,m')$ *if* $m' \leq m$ *(monotonicity),*

$F(n, m = \binom{n}{2}) = F(n)$, $F(n, m = 0) = n$,

$F(n,m) \leq F(n,m')$ *if* $m' \leq m$ *(monotonicity).*

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.4. *(i)* $f(n,m) \leq F(n,m) = \theta(\log(n))$ *if* $m = \theta(n^2)$.

(ii) $f(n,m) = \frac{n}{m/n+1}$ *if* $m \leq n^{3/2}$ *and some divisibility conditions hold for* m *and* n,

(iii) $\frac{2n}{2m/n+1} \leq F(n,m)$ *if* $m \geq n$, *thus*

(iiii) $f(n,m) = \theta(n)$ *and* $F(n,m) = \theta(n)$ *if* $m = \theta(n)$.

Our paper is built up as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.4 (i) by presenting two upper bounds, a probabilistic and a constructive one. This is the case when the order of magnitude of unicolored respectively one way directed edges equals the order

cardinality of all edges. In Section 3, we examine the $m = o(n^2)$ case, to understand when the phase transition happens. First we discuss the $m = \theta(n)$ case (Theorem 1.4 (iiii)), when we exact results can be obtained for the functions in view. This is the case when the two functions can be separated. The lower bounds are derived from the Caro-Wei bound [7, 35] and the results of Alon, Kahn and Seymour on k-degenerate graphs [1], while the upper bounds are related to equitable colorings, graph packings, and the directed feedback vertex set problem. These results provide the proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii) and (iii). Finally, a slight improvement is presented on the upper bound of $F(n)$ using probabilistic techniques in Section 4.

2 The case $m = \theta(n^2)$

In this section, we explain the connection between our two problems in consideration, then give upper bounds on the functions f and F when $m = \theta(n^2)$. To this end we introduce the following

Notion 2.1. *Let p be defined as*

$$p := \frac{|E_{RB}(K_n)|}{|E(K_n)|}$$

in Problem 1.1 and

$$p := \frac{|E_{bi}(G)|}{|E(K_n)|}$$

in Problem 1.2. We call a 2-coloring, respectively a semicomplete digraph G p-dense, if p is the ratio of the bicolored edges of K_n, respectively the bioriented edges of G. Suppose that $p \in [0, 1)$ fixed. Then $f_p(n)$ denotes largest monochromatic clique that is guaranteed in a p-dense coloring, that is, $f_p(n) := f(n, (1-p)\binom{n}{2})$. $F_p(n)$ denotes largest transitive tournament that is guaranteed in a p-dense digraph, that is, $F_p(n) := F(n, (1-p)\binom{n}{2})$.

Theorem 2.2. $f_p(n) \leq F_p(n)$

Proof. It is enough to prove that if a bound $F_p(n) < k$ holds for a $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, that implies $f_p(n) < k$ also holds. Consider a semicomplete digraph G with the prescribed density p, and assume that no transitive subtournament exists on k vertices in G. Label its vertices by $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ arbitrary, and assign red color to ascending edges $\overrightarrow{ij}$ (where $i < j$), blue color to descending edges $\overrightarrow{ij}$ (where $i > j$). The coloring we thus obtain cannot contain a monochromatic clique of size k. Indeed, that would provide a transitive subtournament in G. □

Our aim is to generalize the theorem [14] of Erdős and Moser, providing an upper bound on $F_p(n)$. To this end, we prove a lemma first. $\mathbb{E}(X)$ will denote the expected value of a random variable X.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p \in [0, 1]$ and let Y be a random variable of distribution $Y \sim Binom(n, p)$ and Z be a random variable of distribution $Z \sim Hypergeom(N, pN, n)$. Then for every $c \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(c^Z) \leq \mathbb{E}(c^Y).$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}(c^Z) = \mathbb{E}\left((1+c-1)^Z\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{E}\binom{Z}{k}(c-1)^k,$$

and similar proposition holds for Y. It is enough to show that

$$\mathbb{E}\binom{Z}{k} \leq \mathbb{E}\binom{Y}{k},$$

since this inequality implies the lemma. For the binomial distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\binom{Y}{k} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \binom{t}{k} P(Y=t) = \sum_{t=k}^{n} \binom{t}{k} p^t (1-p)^{n-t} \binom{n}{t} = \binom{n}{k} p^k$$

in view of the binomial theorem and the identity

$$\binom{n}{t}\binom{t}{k} = \binom{n}{k}\binom{n-k}{t-k}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}\binom{Z}{k} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \binom{t}{k} P(Z=t) = \sum_{t=k}^{n} \binom{t}{k} \frac{\binom{pN}{t}\binom{(1-p)N}{n-t}}{\binom{N}{n}} = \frac{\binom{n}{k}\binom{pN}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}}.$$

Indeed, if we multiply the term $\frac{\binom{n}{k}\binom{pN}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}}$ by

$$1 = \sum_{t=k}^{pN} \frac{\binom{pN-k}{t-k}\binom{(1-p)N}{n-t}}{\binom{N-k}{n-k}},$$

the identity corresponding to the sum of the probabilities of a hypergeometric distribution $(N-k, pN-k, n-k)$, we end up at the equation

$$\sum_{t=k}^{n} \binom{t}{k} \frac{\binom{pN}{t}\binom{(1-p)N}{n-t}}{\binom{N}{n}} = \frac{\binom{n}{k}\binom{pN}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}} \sum_{t=k}^{pN} \frac{\binom{pN-k}{t-k}\binom{(1-p)N}{n-t}}{\binom{N-k}{n-k}}.$$

By expanding the binomial coefficients, we get that the expressions on the two sides are identical. Hence, the claim $\mathbb{E}(c^Z) \leq \mathbb{E}(c^Y)$ is equivalent to the straightforward inequality

$$\frac{\binom{pN}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}} \leq p^k \quad \text{for } p \in [0,1].$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *If $p < 1$, then*

$$F_p(n) < \frac{2}{\log_2 \frac{2}{1+p}} \log_2 n + 1 \quad \textit{holds.}$$

Proof. Let G_n be a random p-dense semicomplete digraph, that is, bi-oriented edges of cardinality $p\binom{n}{2}$ are chosen randomly and the remaining edges are oriented at random, independently from the others. Let A_i be the event that a given k-subset $X_i^{(k)}$ of $V(G_n)$ induces a transitive semicomplete digraph, and A_i^* be the variable that counts distinct transitive subtournaments in $X_i^{(k)}$. Note that $P(\bigcap_i \overline{A_i}) > 0$ implies $F_p(n) < k$.
By definition, the event $\overline{A_i}$ is equivalent to the event $\{A_i^* = 0\}$, so by Markov's inequality, we get that $\mathbb{E}(\sum_i A_i^*) < 1$ implies $F_p(n) < k$.
We evaluate the expected value by separating all orderings of $X^{(k)}$ for a possible transitive tournament, as follows.

$$\mathbb{E}(\sum_i A_i^*) = \sum_i \mathbb{E}(A_i^*) = \binom{n}{k} k! \sum_j \frac{2^j}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}} P(j \text{ bi-oriented edges exist in } X_i^{(k)}).$$

Let Z be a random variable, which counts the bioriented edges in the edge set of $X_i^{(k)}$. Clearly, Z has distribution $Z \sim Hypergeom\left(\binom{n}{2}, p\binom{n}{2}, \binom{k}{2}\right)$. According to Lemma 2.3, $\mathbb{E}(c^Z) \leq \mathbb{E}(c^Y)$, where $Y \sim Binom\left(\binom{k}{2}, p\right)$. Applying this in the former equality with $c = 2$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\sum_i A_i^*) \leq \binom{n}{k} \frac{k!}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}} \sum_j 2^j p^j (1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}-j} \binom{\binom{k}{2}}{j} = \binom{n}{k} k! \left(\frac{1+p}{2}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}}.$$

Hence if $n^k < \left(\frac{2}{1+p}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}} \Leftrightarrow 2k \log_2 n < k(k-1) \log_2 \frac{2}{1+p}$ holds for k, then $F_p(n) < k$, that is, $F_p(n) < \frac{2}{\log_2 \frac{2}{1+p}} \log_2 n + 1$. □

Theorem 2.4 implies that for any fixed density $p < 1$, both $f_p(n)$ and $F_p(n)$ is of order $\log n$. The following theorem yields the same conclusion based on a construction.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $t \leq n$ be a positive integer, and $p := 1 - \frac{1}{t}$. Then $f_p(n) \leq t f_0(\lceil \frac{n}{t} \rceil) \leq 2t \log_2(\frac{n}{t})$.*

Proof. Partition the vertices into t classes of size $\lceil \frac{n}{t} \rceil$ or $\lfloor \frac{n}{t} \rfloor$. Let each class span an oriented graph containing no transitive tournaments of size more than $f_0(\lceil \frac{n}{t} \rceil)$. Finally, let the edges between the partition classes be bi-oriented. This digraph contains no transitive tournaments of size more than $t f_0(\lceil \frac{n}{t} \rceil)$, while a simple calculation shows that the density of the bi-oriented edges is at least p. The bound thus follows from inequality (1). □

Remark 2.6. *In view of Observation 1.3, Theorem 2.5 can be extended to any $p \in (0, 1)$ by applying the theorem for an integer t for which $p < 1 - \frac{1}{t}$ holds.*

Observation 2.7. *Theorem 2.4 is useful if p is rather small, while the upper bound of Theorem 2.5 is better if $p > 1/2$.*

3 The case $m = o(n^2)$

After assuming that the cardinality of the bi-oriented, or the bicolored edges much less then $\binom{n}{2}$, we focus on the same question if the cardinality is $(1 - o(1))\binom{n}{2}$.
The following two lemmas play a crucial part in forthcoming results.

Theorem 3.1 (Turán theorem, Caro-Wei bound)**.** *[7, 34, 35] Let H be a simple graph with degree sequence $0 < d_1 \leq \ldots ... \leq d_n$. Then there exists an induced subgraph H' of H on $n' \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i+1}$ nodes, containing no edges. That is,*

$$\alpha(H) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i + 1}$$

holds for the independence number of H.

Corollary 3.2. *[20] Let H be a simple graph on n vertices and of m edges. Then*

$$\alpha(H) \geq \frac{n}{2m/n + 1}.$$

The Caro-Wei bound has been generalized in many ways. Caro, Hansberg and Tuza studied [8, 9] d-independent subsets $S \subseteq V$ of the vertex set $V = V(G)$ of a graph G, which are sets of vertices such that the maximum degree in the graph induced by S is at most d. Alon, Kahn and Seymour called a graph H d-degenerate if every subgraph of it contains a vertex of degree smaller than d and bound the maximum number $\alpha_d(G)$ of vertices of an induced d-degenerate subgraph of G in [1]. Observing that $\alpha_1(G) = \alpha(G)$ and 2-degenerate graph are forests, we can formulate their result - similarly to Theorem 3.1 - as follows.

Theorem 3.3. *[1] Let H be a simple graph with degree sequence $0 < d_1 \leq \ldots ... \leq d_n$. Then there exists an induced subgraph H' of H on*

$$n' \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2}{d_i + 1}$$

nodes, containing no cycles.

For the sake of completeness we give a short proof.

Proof. Take an arbitrary arrangement of the vertices of H. Label those vertices which have at most one preceding neighbour in the permutation. It immediately follows that labeled vertices cannot span a cycle. If the arrangement is chosen uniformly at random, then every vertex i gets a label with probability $\frac{2}{d_i+1}$, thus the expected value of the labeled vertices is exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2}{d_i+1}$. This implies the existence of a suitable subgraph H'. □

If $n \leq m$, Theorem 3.3 implies

Corollary 3.4. *[1] Let H be a simple graph on n vertices and of $n \leq m$ edges. Then*

$$\alpha_2(H) \geq \frac{2n}{2m/n+1}.$$

We study the case $m \leq n$ first to demonstrate the proof techniques, stress the the difference between the two considered problems, furthermore to determine explicitly the functions $f(n,m)$ and $F(n,m)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $|E_{RB}(K_n)| = |E_{bi}(G)| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, where $m \leq n$. Then*

(i) $f(n,m) = n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$,

(ii) $F(n,m) = n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{3} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. First we prove (i). Consider those edges which are colored with precisely one color. Assume that the color red is assigned to at least as many edges as the color blue. Thus there are at most $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$ blue edges. Delete a minimal covering (vertex) set of the blue edges. The remaining vertex set induces a graph where the color red is assigned to every edge. On the other hand, if both the blue and the red edge set is independent, equality holds.

Next, we prove that $F(n,m) \leq n - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{3} \right\rfloor$ by a construction. Take $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{3} \right\rfloor$ disjoint triangles, and orient round the edges in each triangle. Let all the edges between different triangles be bi-oriented edges. Clearly, at most two vertices can be chosen from each triangle to get a transitive tournament from this digraph, thus we are done.
On the other hand, this bound turns out to be sharp. Let H be the simple graph constructed from G by deleting the bi-oriented edges, and omitting the orientations of the remaining oriented edges and the isolated vertices. Let $0 < d_1 \leq \ldots ... \leq d_s$ be the degree sequence of H. We can obtain a subgraph H' on at least $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{2}{d_i+1}$ nodes which do not span cycles. Consider the directed subgraph of G restricted to the vertex set corresponding to the vertex set of H'. Since H' was a forest, the graph obtained from G by deleting the bi-oriented edges and restricted to the vertex set of H' has a topological ordering. This provides a transitive subtournament of G on $\left\lceil \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{2}{d_i+1} \right\rceil$ nodes. Hence Lemma 3.6 completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let G be a simple graph with degree sequence $0 < d_1 \leq \ldots \leq d_s$.*
If G has m edges and $s \leq n$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{2}{d_i+1} + n - s \geq n - \frac{m}{3}.$$

Proof. Observe that the following inequality holds for every positive integer x:

$$\frac{2}{x+1} - 1 \geq -\frac{x}{6}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i = 2m$, summing it for every degree of G provides the statement. □

If m is at least n, we give lower and upper bounds in the same spirit.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $|E_{RB}(K_n)| = |E_{bi}(G)| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, *where* $n \leq m$. *Then*

(i) $f(n,m) \geq \frac{n}{m/n+1}$,

(ii) $F(n,m) \geq \frac{2n}{2m/n+1}$.

Proof. Part (i). Consider the edges which have exactly one color, and suppose that the color red is assigned to at least as many edges as the color blue. Thus the cardinality of the blue edges is at most $m/2$. Let us take a maximal independent set on the graph of the blue edges. This provides a monochromatic (red) subgraph in the original K_n. The cardinality of the maximal independent set is at least $\frac{n}{m/n+1}$ due to Corollary 3.2.

Part (ii). Apply Corollary 3.4 to the simple graph H obtained from our digraph G by deleting all bi-oriented edges and omitting the orientation for the rest of the edges. Consider the obtained forest subgraph H' of H, and the original orientations of the edges of H'. These edges in G obviously provides an acyclic orientation, with a suitable topological ordering, hence the vertex set of H' guarantees a transitive subtournament on at least $\frac{2n}{2m/n+1}$ vertices. □

We highlight the connection between the feedback vertex set problems (deadlock recovery) and Proposition 3.7 (ii). A feedback vertex set of a graph (or digraph) is a set of vertices whose removal leaves a graph without (directed) cycles. In other words, each feedback vertex set contains at least one vertex of any cycle in the graph. Since the feedback vertex sets play a prominent role in the study of deadlock recovery in operating systems, it has been studied extensively [10, 11, 18, 19, 22]. To find the minimal feedback vertex set is NP-complete in the undirected and directed case as well [22].

Essentially, $F(n,m)$ is equal to the size of the maximal size of an acyclic subgraph which is guaranteed to be in a digraph of m directed edges on n vertices, that is, $n - F(n,m)$ is the minimal size of a feedback vertex set, such that the removal of an appropriate set of $n - F(n,m)$ vertices makes any digraph of m edges acyclic. Instead, in the proof of Proposition 3.7 (ii) we bound the size of the minimal size of a feedback vertex sets of undirected graphs of m edges, which generally provides a fairly weaker bound, even if they both lead to exact results when m is small via Proposition 3.5.

Before stating upper bounds, recall that $\vec{R}(n)$ denoted the directed Ramsey number, and $F(\vec{R}(k+1)-1) = k$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $|E_{bi}(G)| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, *where* $m = n \cdot (\frac{\vec{R}(k+1)}{2} - 1)$. *Suppose that* $\vec{R}(k+1) - 1 \mid n$. *Then*

$$F(n,m) \leq \frac{k}{\vec{R}(k+1)-1} \cdot n.$$

Proof. There exists a tournament T on $\vec{R}(k+1)-1$ vertices which does not contain a transitive tournament of size $k+1$. Take $\frac{n}{\vec{R}(k+1)-1}$ disjoint copy of it, and join every pair of vertices with bioriented edges which are not in the same copy of T. Clearly, the size of the maximal transitive tournament of this graph is at most $k \cdot \frac{n}{\vec{R}(k+1)-1}$. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let* $|E_{RB}(G)| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, *where* $m/n = C \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, *and* $C+1 \leq n/(C+1) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. *Then*

$$f(n,m) \leq \frac{n}{m/n+1}.$$

Proof. Take the disjoint union of $n/(C+1)$ cliques of size $C+1$, and let the edges inside any clique be blue. Order the cliques and the vertices inside the cliques, and assign to each vertex a pair (i,j) if the vertex is the ith vertex in the jth clique. Make a lexicographic order of the pairs associated to the vertices, and divide it into $n/(C+1)$ vertex sets of equal size $C+1$. Let us color the edges red inside every such vertex set. Clearly, no blue edge was recolored since the distance is at least $n/(C+1) \geq C+1$ between the endpoints of a blue edge in the lexicographic order. Hence, if all the other edges are colored with both colors, then Cn will be the number of unicolored edges, while a monochromatic clique has at most $n/(C+1)$ vertices due to the pigeon-hall principle. □

In other words, in the proof of Proposition 3.9 we apply that if the n-vertex H is the disjoint union of some cliques K_{C+1}, then H packs with itself if and only if $C+1 \leq n/(C+1)$ holds. This is a very special case of the famous Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem [21], which states that if H is an n-vertex graph with $\Delta(G) \leq r$, then H packs with the graph $H'(n,r+1)$ whose components are complete graphs of size $n/(r+1)$.

Proposition 3.7 and 3.9 implies together that equality can be attained in the two-colored case, if some divisibility conditions hold, and the majority of the edges are colored with both colors.

Corollary 3.10. *If* $m/n = C \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, *and* $C+1 \leq n/(C+1) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, *then*

$$f(n,m) = \frac{n}{m/n+1}.$$

This method thus provides exact result till $m \leq n^{3/2}$ *holds for the number of missing edges. If the order of magnitude is bigger than* $n^{3/2}$, *the graph cannot contain a disjoint union of packings of monochromatic cliques of size* $C+1$, *from both red and blue color, hence the lower bound cannot be attained.*

Clearly, the conditions concerning the divisibilities $\vec{R}(k+1)-1 \mid n$ and $n \mid m$ are not essential, a slight modification of the constructions above gives upper bounds in general.

Proposition 3.11. *(i) Let* $|E_{RB}(G)| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, *where* $m/n = k - \gamma$, $1 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$, *and* $\gamma \in [0,1]$. *Then*

$$f^*(n) \leq n\left(\frac{\gamma}{k} + \frac{(1-\gamma)}{k+1}\right) + O(1).$$

(ii) Let $|E_{bi}(G)| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, *where* $m = \frac{n}{2}\left(\gamma(\vec{R}(k+1)-1) + (1-\gamma)(\vec{R}(k+2)-1) - 1\right)$, $1 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma \in [0,1]$. *Then*

$$F^*(n) \leq n\left(\frac{\gamma k}{\vec{R}(k+1)-1} + \frac{(1-\gamma)(k+1)}{\vec{R}(k+2)-1}\right) + O(1).$$

Proof. Part (i). Take the disjoint union of $\left\lfloor \frac{n\alpha}{k} \right\rfloor$ cliques of size k and $\left\lfloor \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{k+1} \right\rfloor$ cliques of size $k+1$. This graph packs with itself if $k+1 \leq \sqrt{n}$. Thus according to the proof of Proposition 3.9, we can color red and blue two edge-disjoint subgraphs of K_n which are isomorphic to this graph, while the remaining edges will be bicolored. Hence, the number of one colored edges is the desired. On the other hand, red (or blue) monochromatic clique in K_n must contain at most one vertex from each blue (or red) one-colored clique. This completes the proof.
Part (ii). Take the disjoint union of $\left\lfloor \frac{n\alpha}{\vec{R}(k+1)-1} \right\rfloor$ tournaments of size $\vec{R}(k+1)-1$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{\vec{R}(k+2)-1} \right\rfloor$ tournaments of size $\vec{R}(k+2)-1$, for which the biggest transitive subtournament is of size k and $k+1$, respectively. Add isolated vertices so that the cardinality of the vertex set is n. Let every edge between disjoint tournaments or isolated vertices be bioriented. This construction confirms the statement. □

4 Improving the upper bound for $F(n)$

In the following section, we consider simple oriented graphs.

Lemma 4.1 (Lovász Local Lemma)**.** *[17] Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m$ be a series of events such that each event occurs with probability at most P and such that each event is independent of all the other events except for at most d of them. If $Pe(d+1) < 1$, then there is a nonzero probability that none of the events occurs.*

Theorem 4.2. $F(n) < 2\log_2 n - 1 + o(1)$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 55$, and let $\sqrt{n} > k > 4$. Let T_n be a random tournament such that every edge is oriented in one direction uniformly at random, independently from the orientations of all the other edges. Let A_i be the event that a given k-subset $X_i^{(k)}$ of $V(T_n)$ is a transitive tournament.
Clearly, $P(A_i) = \frac{k!}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}}$.
A_i is independent of all events A_j for which $|X_i^{(k)} \cap X_j^{(k)}| \leq 1$. This yields

$$d \leq \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-k}{k} - k\binom{n-k}{k-1} = \sum_{j=2}^{k} \binom{k}{j}\binom{n-k}{k-j}.$$

Increasing the right hand side, we get

$$d < \binom{k}{2}\binom{n-k}{k-2}\left(1 + \left[\frac{k-2}{3}\frac{k-2}{(n-2k+3)}\right] + \left[\frac{k-2}{3}\frac{k-2}{(n-2k+3)}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{k-2}{3}\frac{k-2}{(n-2k+3)}\right]^3 + \ldots\right)$$

Hence

$$d < \binom{k}{2}\binom{n-k}{k-2}\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{(k-2)^2}{3(n-2k+3)}}\right)$$

Applying $\sqrt{n} > k > 4$, we get that the sum of the above geometric progression is less than 1.2.

In view of the Lovász Local Lemma, if $e(d+1)P(A_i) < 1$, then
$P(\text{no transitive k-subtournaments in } T_n) > 0$, thus $f(n) < k$.
Therefore if

$$e \cdot \frac{k^2}{2} \frac{n^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} 1.2 \cdot \frac{k^2(k-2)!}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}} < 1,$$

then $F(n) < k$. This implies

$$(k-2)\log_2 n < \binom{k}{2} - 4\log_2 k - 0.7.$$

Consequently,

$$2\log_2 n - 1 < k + \frac{2 - 8\log_2 k - 1.4}{(k-2)},$$

which completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments
I am very grateful to Ágnes Tóth, András Gyárfás and Yair Caro for their several valuable suggestions, and to the anonymous referee for his/her very constructive comments, which helped to substantially improve the manuscript.

References

[1] N. Alon, J. Kahn, P. D. Seymour, Large Induced Degenerate Subgraphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **3**, (1987) 203 - 211.

[2] N. Alon, J. H. Spencer, The probabilistic method, *New York: Wiley-Interscience* (2000).

[3] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin, Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications, *Springer* (2009).

[4] W. G. Brown, P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, Extremal problems for directed graphs. *J. Combin Theory, Ser. B* **15**(1) (1973) 77-93.

[5] W. G. Brown, P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, Multigraph extremal problems, *Colloq. Intern.* CNRS. **Vol. 260.** 1978.

[6] W. G. Brown, M. Simonovits, Extremal multigraph and digraph problems, *Paul Erdős and His Mathematics 2.* (2002) 157 - 204.

[7] Y. Caro, New results on the independence number, *Tech. Report*, Tel-Aviv University, (1979).

[8] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, New approach to the k-independence number of a graph, *Elect. J. Comb.* **20**(1), (2013), P33.

[9] Y. Caro, Z. Tuza, Improved lower bounds on k-independence, *J. Graph Theory* **15**(1), (1991) 99 - 107.

[10] J. Chen, F. V. Fomin, Yang Liu, S. Lu, Y. Villanger, Improved algorithms for feedback vertex set problems, *J. Comp. Sys. Sciences* **74**(7), (2008) 1188-1198.

[11] J. Chen, Y. Liu, S. Lu, B. O'Sullivan, I. Razgon, A fixed-parameter algorithm for the directed feedback vertex set problem, *Journal of the ACM* **55** (5), Art. 21, (2008).

[12] D. Conlon , A new upper bound for diagonal Ramsey numbers, *Ann. Of Math.* **170 (2)**, (2009) 941 - 960.

[13] P. Erdős, Some remarks on the theory of graphs, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53 (4)**, (1947) 292 - 294.

[14] P. Erdős, L. Moser, On the representation of directed graphs as unions of orderings , *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.* **9**, (1964) 125-132.

[15] P. Erdős, R. Rado, Partition relations and transitivity domains of binary relations, *J. London Math. Soc.* **1** (1) (1967) 624 - 633.

[16] P. Erdős, G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry *Compositio Mathematica*, **2** (1935) 463-470.

[17] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions, *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 11, North Holland, Amsterdam*, (1975) 609-627.

[18] P. Erdős, L. Pósa, On independent circuits contained in a graph, *Canadian J. Math.* **17** (1965) 347-352.

[19] P. Festa, P.M. Pardalos, M.G.C. Resende, Feedback set problems. *In: Handbook of Combinatorial Optimization, Supplement* **vol. A**, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1999) pp. 209 - 258.

[20] J.R. Griggs, Lower bounds on the independence number in terms of the degrees, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **34** (1983) 22-39.

[21] A. Hajnal, E. Szemerédi, Proof of a conjecture of Erdős, *Combinatorial Theory and its Applications* Vol. II, North-Holland, New York-London (1970) 601 - 603.

[22] R.M. Karp, Reducibility among combinatorial problems *In: Complexity of Computer Computations*, pp. 85-103. Plenum, New York (1972).

[23] J. A. Larson, W. J. Mitchell, On a problem of Erdős and Rado, *Annals of Combinatorics* **1** (1) (1997) 245 - 252.

[24] E. Marchant, A. Thomason, Extremal graphs and multigraphs with two weighted colours *Fete of Combinatorics and Computer Science* Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (2010) 239 - 286.

[25] E. Marchant, A. Thomason, The structure of hereditary properties and 2-coloured multigraphs, *Combinatorica* **31** (1) (2011) 85-93.

[26] V. Neumann-Lara, A Short Proof of a Theorem of Reid and Parker on Tournaments , *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **10**, (1994) 363-366.

[27] K. B. Reid, E. T. Parker, Disproof of a conjecture of Erdős and Moser on tournaments, *J. Combin. Theory*, **9**, (1970) 225-238.

[28] A. Sanchez-Flores, On tournaments free of large transitive subtournaments , *Graphs and Combinatorics* **14** (1998) 181-200.

[29] D. Saxton, Supersaturation for hereditary properties, *European J. Comb*, **33** (4), (2012) 458 - 462.

[30] J. Spencer, Ramsey's theorem - a new lower bound, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **18** (1975) 108 - 115.

[31] R. Stearns, The voting problem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **66** (1959) 761-763.

[32] C. Tabib, About the inequalities of Erdős and Moser on the largest transitive subtournament of a tournament, *Combinatoire énumérative, Lecture Notes in Math. 1234*, Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer (1986) 308-320.

[33] A. Thomason, Graphs, colours, weights and hereditary properties, *Surveys in Combinatorics* **392** (2011) 333 - 364.

[34] P. Turán, An extremal problem in graph theory (hungarian), *Mat Fiz Lapok* **48**, (1941) 436 - 452.

[35] V.K. Wei A lower bound on the stability number of a simple graph, *Tech. Memorandum* No. 81- 11217-9. Bell Laboratories, 1981.